



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Identification and characterization of a homozygous deletion found in ovarian ascites by representational difference analysis

Citation for published version:

Watson, JE, Gabra, H, Taylor, KJ, Rabiasz, GJ, Morrison, H, Perry, P, Smyth, JF & Porteous, DJ 1999, 'Identification and characterization of a homozygous deletion found in ovarian ascites by representational difference analysis', *Genome Research*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 226-33.

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:

Genome Research

Publisher Rights Statement:

©1999 by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





Identification and Characterization of a Homozygous Deletion Found in Ovarian Ascites by Representational Difference Analysis

J.E. Vivienne Watson, Hani Gabra, Karen J. Taylor, et al.

Genome Res. 1999 9: 226-233

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gr.9.3.226](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.9.3.226)

References

This article cites 46 articles, 16 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genome.cshlp.org/content/9/3/226.full.html#ref-list-1>

Creative Commons License

This article is distributed exclusively by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press for the first six months after the full-issue publication date (see <http://genome.cshlp.org/site/misc/terms.xhtml>). After six months, it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported License), as described at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>.

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).

To subscribe to *Genome Research* go to:
<http://genome.cshlp.org/subscriptions>

Research

Identification and Characterization of a Homozygous Deletion Found in Ovarian Ascites by Representational Difference Analysis

J.E. Vivienne Watson,^{1,3} Hani Gabra,¹ Karen J. Taylor,¹ Genevieve J. Rabiasz,¹ Harris Morrison,² Paul Perry,² John F. Smyth,¹ and David J. Porteous²

¹Imperial Cancer Research Fund (ICRF) Medical Oncology Unit and ²Medical Research Council Human Genetics Unit (MRC HGU), Western General Hospital, Edinburgh EH4 2XU, Scotland

We have performed representational difference analysis (RDA) on DNA from tumor cells and normal fibroblasts isolated from the ascites of a patient with ovarian cancer. Five of six products of the RDA were homozygously deleted from the tumor DNA. One of these products has been characterized and identifies a homozygous deletion of ~6.9 Mb at chromosome 9p21 in the original ovarian tumor material. This deletion encompasses CDKN2A (p16), CDKN2B (p15), and IFN- α . PCR analysis of other tumor cell lines using the novel STS based on the RDA product has shown it to lie between IFN- α and p16, and to identify the distal extent of a homozygous deletion in another ovarian cancer cell line. These data provide further evidence for a tumor suppressor locus distinct from, but mapping close to, p16 on 9p21. Cytogenetic analysis using comparative genomic hybridization (CGH) performed on the same primary tumor confirmed a loss of material from chromosome 9p. However, the CGH technique had neither the resolution nor the sensitivity to define a subregion of homozygous loss.

[The GenBank accession no. for this sequence is AF113912.]

Ovarian cancer is the fifth most common cause of death from cancer among women. It kills 80% of those 5000 diagnosed in the United Kingdom every year, primarily because of its late presentation. The majority of patients present with stage III and IV disease, for which the 5-year survival rates are 17% and 5%, respectively (Chang et al. 1994). It is clear that much more needs to be understood of the biology of this disease before significant advances in current diagnosis and treatment can be made. At the genetic level, several oncogenes have been shown to be activated, amplified, or over-expressed in ovarian cancer, including *c-erbB2* (*HER2/neu*) (Slamon et al. 1989), *Ki-ras*, *H-ras*, *c-myc* (Yokota et al. 1986), and *c-fms* (Bast et al. 1993; for reviews, see Chuaqui et al. 1997; Steel and Gabra 1997). However, relatively few recessively acting genes, or so-called tumor suppressor genes, have been directly implicated in the molecular pathology of ovarian cancer. Mutation of p53 has been observed in ~52% of tumors (Teneriello et al. 1993), *nm23* expression has been lost or changed in 21.7% of tumors (Mandai et al. 1995), and E-cadherin (*CDH1*) is mutated in small numbers of ovarian tumors (Risinger et al. 1994). In familial cases of ovarian cancer, mutation of *BRCA1* has been seen in only 10% of tumors (Merajver et al. 1995; Takahashi et

al. 1995) and *BRCA2* in even fewer (Takahashi et al. 1996).

Loss of both copies of a gene involved in regulation or control of cell growth or proliferation is detectable through loss of heterozygosity (LOH) studies. LOH analyses of ovarian blood/tumor pairs has revealed many regions of loss in ovarian tumors (for review, see Black et al. 1998). However, none of these studies has led directly to the identification of novel tumor suppressor genes. This is in part due to the ambiguous nature of the results of LOH analyses of ovarian tumors. Contaminating stromal tissue and contradictory or low-significance results can make it difficult to define a restricted region in which a novel tumor suppressor gene is located.

For other tumor types, regions of homozygous loss have proved very valuable for the identification of tumor suppressor genes, as the deletions are discrete and provide a limited and precise chromosomal interval for positional cloning. However, to date, the majority of such genomic lesions described both in ovarian and other cancers have been in the DNA of tumor cell lines, which is itself subject to in vitro karyotypic evolution. Techniques such as fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), Southern analysis, and dense microsatellite mapping can be used to detect homozygous deletions in primary tissue containing contaminating normal tissue (Cairns et al 1995). However, these methods re-

³Corresponding author.
E-MAIL vivienne@hgu.mrc.ac.uk; FAX 44-131-343-2620.

quire a high density of markers within a limited genomic region.

The technique of representational difference analysis (RDA) enables the whole genome of a tumor sample to be compared to its normal counterpart and, for regions of loss or amplification, to be isolated directly. First described by Lisitsyn (1993), the method uses PCR-generated "representations" of the genomic DNA starting materials to facilitate kinetic enrichment of sequences present in only one of the DNA sources.

We have applied the method of RDA to purified populations of tumor and normal fibroblast cells derived from a specimen of ascitic fluid from a patient with ovarian cancer, to identify regions of homozygous loss directly from patient material. Malignant ovarian ascites have been shown to share many of the histological and antigenic properties of the primary tumor (Hamilton et al. 1983; Provencher et al. 1993) and should contain genomic rearrangements and deletions associated with a poorly differentiated, highly malignant cellular phenotype. In most cases reported previously, RDA has been applied to tumor cell lines and their normal counterpart. By using primary material, we avoided cloning sequences that may have been lost through in vitro karyotypic evolution.

After performing RDA we have isolated and cloned several novel sequences that were homozygously deleted from malignant ascitic cells but were present in normal fibroblasts. One of the clones maps to 9p21, and we show that it is derived from a large deletion that encompasses not only the previously described tumor suppressor genes *CDKN2A/p16* and *CDKN2B/p15* but also other potential tumor suppressor genes.

We have also analyzed the primary material by CGH to identify gross rearrangements and deletions within the tumor and to provide a comparison with results obtained from RDA.

RESULTS

Representational difference analysis of malignant and




Figure 1 RDA results: difference products and cloned products. (Lanes 1–3) Difference products after first, second, and third rounds of enrichment. (Lanes a–h) Results of PCR amplification of inserts of cloned RDA products.




Figure 2 Analysis of RDA products: PCR of original material. PCR using primers derived from RDA products RD2, RD30, and RD55 on original fibroblast DNA (F); original tumor material (T); or genomic DNA from another individual (+); (-) Control reaction with no template DNA.

fibroblast cells from a patient with ovarian cancer was carried out. Three rounds of kinetic enrichment were performed with tumor DNA in 100-fold excess. The products were subcloned and sequenced (Fig. 1). Six unique products were examined in more detail; five were shown by PCR and Southern blot analysis (data not shown) to be absent from the tumor while being present in the normal genomic counterpart (Fig. 2), and therefore lay within a homozygous deletion specific to the tumor.

PCR on a monochromosome hybrid panel with primers derived from one of the products, RD55, showed that it mapped to chromosome 9. PCR with RD55 on cell lines derived from the original ascites specimen (PEO1, PEO4, PEO6, PEO1^{CDDP}; see Methods) confirmed it to be homozygously deleted. PCR with RD55 on a panel of DNA samples from different human tumor cell lines showed it to be homozygously deleted in 2 of 17 cases. Mapping on a radiation hybrid (RH) panel of chromosome 9 placed the RDA product between α -interferon (IFN- α) and *CDKN2* (p16) with odds of 1000:1 (Bouzyk et al. 1997).

STS markers from 9p21.3 to pter were used to characterize the deletion in the original primary malignant ovarian material; the data are summarized in Figure 3. The same markers were also used to define the extent of the deletions in the tumor cell lines (Table 1).

The original tumor material and the cell lines derived from it (PEO1, PEO4, PEO6, PEO1^{CDDP}) all had a deletion that encompassed IFN- α , p16, and p15. This deletion is flanked by the markers D9S162 and D9S171 and, from the RH map described by Bouzyk et al. (1997), could be as large as 6.9 Mb.

In addition to those cell lines derived from the original ascites specimen, 5 of 17 of the independent tumor cell lines characterized were homozygously deleted for p16 exon 2. Deletions of this region in some of these cell lines have been reported previously (Chenevix-Trench et al. 1994; Shih et al. 1997), and it confirms these results. In two of these cell lines, MCF7




Figure 3 Chromosome 9 map. Map of part of human chromosome 9p showing the status of DNA markers and gene sequences in PEO4 tumor DNA. The extent of the homozygous deletion in the ovarian tumor is indicated in bold.

and 59M, the deletion is confined by RD55 distally and D9S1748 proximally, and does not include p15 (CDKN2B). This distance is estimated to be <400 kb (Weaver-Feldhaus et al. 1994). Cell line SKOV3 was deleted for both p15 and p16, subtended by the markers D9S966 and RD55. These markers are all contained within a single CEPH mega-YAC with an estimated in-

sert size of 1200 kb (Bouzyk et al. 1997). OVCAR5 was shown to be deleted for both p15 and p16 but not IFN- α . It is deleted for RD55, which places the RDA product proximal to IFN- α . Toward the centromere, the deletion in this cell line extends as far as, and includes D9S966. The next closest marker mapped is D9S171, which is retained but lies the other side of a

Table 1. PCR Analysis of Markers on Chromosome 9p in Original Tumor and Fibroblast DNA and in Cancer Cell Lines

DNA sample	D9S 288	D9S 178	TYR P1	D9S 285	D9S 156	D9S 157	D9S 162	IFN α	RD 55	p16 ex2	D9S 1748	D9S 1752	p15 ex2	D9S 942	c1.b	D9S 966	D9S 171	D9S 265	D9S2 72	D9S1 76
Fibroblast	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Tumour	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
PEO1	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
PEO4	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
PEO6	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
PEO1^{CDDP}	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
PEO14	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
PEO16	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
PEO23	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
PEA1	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
PEA2	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
OVCAR3	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
OVCAR4	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
OVCAR5	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
A2780	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
HeLa	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
MCF7	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ZR75.1	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
MDA	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
59M	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
OAW42	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
OAW28	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SKOV3	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
HCT 116	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

(**|||||**) Marker showing LOH; (**|||||**) marker homozygously lost; (**|||||**) marker uninformative; (**|||||**) marker heterozygous; (–) marker lost; (+) marker retained. Cell lines boxed in bold are derived from the same patient. Markers derived from coding regions are shown in boldface type.

gap in the RH map. This deletion could therefore be as large as 4.6 Mb. The deletion in MDA.MB.231 was shown to be even larger than that identified in the original tumor used in the RDA experiment and extends as far as D9S265 proximally, giving a maximum size estimate of ~9 Mb.

Cytogenetic Analysis

Comparative genomic hybridization analysis of the original tumor material was carried out (see Methods). The ratios of fluorescent signal of tumor to normal DNA revealed multiple copy number abnormalities in this tumor (Fig. 4a,b). These are summarized in Table 2. The large homozygous deletion identified through RDA could be seen as a loss of material from 9pter to 9p12.

DISCUSSION

In 1994, Kamb et al. reported the localization of a novel tumor suppressor gene to chromosome 9p21 through mapping of homozygous deletions in melanoma cell lines. The gene, *p16/CDKN2A*, was shown to be homozygously deleted at high frequency in many other cell lines derived from different tumor types (Nobori et al. 1994). It was shown to be a member of a family of cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) inhibitors that are important in normal cell-cycle regulation (Serano et al. 1993).

Conflicting views have been presented however, as to the importance of p16 as a multitissue tumor suppressor gene. For example, although 74% of melanoma cell lines analyzed had homozygous deletions or




Figure 4 CGH results for PEO4 tumor DNA. (a) A digital image of a typical metaphase. Regions that are over-represented in the tumor are visualized as predominantly green, whereas regions that are under-represented or deleted from the tumor are seen as predominantly red. Bar, 10 μm. (b) The quantitative digital image analysis of fluorescence intensity ratios next to a diagram of the chromosome. The mean ratio (thick line) and ± 1 s.e. (thin lines) of measurements from n metaphases for each chromosome are shown from pter to qter (top to bottom). The baseline value (1.0) representing the mean green-to-red ratio for the entire metaphase is shown as a broken line, and ratios 0.5 and 1.5 as dotted lines. Regions approaching a ratio of 0.5 were taken as losses; regions having a ratio >1.5 were taken as gains.

Table 2. Summary of Chromosomal Gains and Losses Observed by CGH on PEO4 Tumor DNA

Chromosome gains	Chromosome losses
4p15	1q32.2-qter
8q23-8q24	4q22-qter
11q13	5p14
17qter	6q16-qter
	7p14-21
	9pter-p21, 9q21, 9q22.3-33
	11p15-pter
	13q
	16q22-qter
	17p, 17q12
	18q22-qter
	22q13-qter
	X

intragenic mutations within p16, a frequency of only 19% was observed in primary melanomas, suggesting that deletions in p16 are necessary for growth of melanoma cells in culture and may not be so important in carcinogenesis in vivo (Walker et al 1998).

Despite the reported high levels of LOH at 9p21 in many different tumors (Caldas et al. 1994; Puig et al. 1995; Williamson et al. 1995), the inactivation of the second allele of p16 through microdeletion or point mutation as predicted by Knudson's two-hit hypothesis has only been found in a limited number of tumor types, predominantly those of the pancreas (Caldas et al. 1994). However, homozygous deletions have been found in a growing number of primary tumors of different tumor types in the 9p21 region that harbors this gene (Cairns et al. 1995), suggesting that this is the predominant mechanism for gene loss. There is also evidence for inactivation of the second copy of the gene by methylation in some common cancers (Herman et al. 1995; Merlo et al. 1995), although this does not include ovarian carcinoma.

Although relatively high levels of homozygous deletion have been reported in ovarian tumor cell lines—4/8 (Rodabaugh et al. 1995) and 5/11 (Shih et al. 1997)—the frequency of homozygous deletions of this region reported in primary ovarian cancers is low—2/88 (Shih et al. 1997) and 1/67 (Campbell et al. 1995). Some groups claim higher frequencies of homozygous loss in primary tumors detected through multiplex PCR analysis (Ichikawa et al. 1996). However, LOH for this region is relatively high—48% (Campbell et al. 1995) and 45% (Chenevix-Trench et al. 1997)—but the number of gene-inactivating point mutations found in p16 in tumors with LOH is very small (Rodabaugh et al. 1995; Schultz et al. 1995). In addition no studies so far have detected inactivation of p16 by methylation in ovarian tumors (Ichikawa et al. 1996; Shih et al. 1997). Taken together, these data imply the involvement of another locus located around 9p21 in the genesis of ovarian cancer. A failure to find inactivation of either

p16 or p15 in cutaneous malignant melanoma in which LOH frequencies are high also suggests that there may be another potential tumor suppressor gene in the region (Puig et al. 1995).

Our results from the analysis of ovarian cell lines and primary malignant ovarian tumor cells agree with a hypothesis for an additional tumor suppressor gene at 9p21. In several of the cell lines characterized (OVCAR5, PEOs), the homozygous deletions extend for a large distance beyond the defined tumor suppressor genes *p16* and *p15*. Interestingly the deletion detected in primary malignant ascites cells by RDA also extended across the same region, strengthening the case for the involvement of this additional gene in carcinogenesis in vivo.

The possible candidate gene *IFN- α* is excluded, as *IFN- α* is retained in OVCAR5 and the distal extent of the deletion is defined by RD55. This suggests that a novel tumor suppressor may lie either within the 400-kb region distal to *p16* limited by the novel RDA product RD55, or within 2.8 Mb proximal to *p16*, defined by the marker D16S171. Loss of function of this novel gene may account for the high frequency of LOH observed at 9p21 in ovarian tumors that do not show inactivation of p16 by deletion, mutation, or methylation.

CGH analysis of DNA from the malignant ascites of tumor PEO4 reveals multiple regions of amplification and loss. A total of 20 copy number abnormalities (CNAs) were identified (see Table 2). There is a clear reduction in the ratio of green to red signal on 9p, from 9pter up to and including 9p21. This region covers the homozygous deletion detected by RDA at 9p21.3, but the loss of both copies of the chromosome at this point cannot be distinguished from the immediate proximal and distal regions, which we know to be conserved within the tumor from STS mapping (see Fig. 3). The size of the deletion on 9p21, detected by RDA at ~7 Mb, is outside of the limits of resolution of CGH. This result highlights the limitations of CGH in the detection of biologically significant chromosomal deletions.

To our knowledge, this is the first report of CGH analysis of malignant ovarian ascites. The degree of CNA in this tumor demonstrated by CGH analysis is not unusual for an advanced stage ovarian epithelial carcinoma. Iwabuchi et al. (1995) report CNAs of between 0 and 30 in 44 different ovarian tumors, with high-grade tumors exhibiting, on average, more abnormalities than lower grade tumors. Twenty-three of the 24 well or moderately differentiated epithelial ovarian carcinomas analyzed by Tapper et al. (1997) show CNA ranging from 1 to 17, and Wolff et al. (1997) report CNAs of between 1 and 31 in their panel of 24 ovarian carcinomas of different subtypes. Of the 17 distinct CNAs we observed in PEO4, most have been observed previously, including gains at 8q23-8q24, 11q13, and 17q22-qter and losses at 4q22-qter, 5p14, 6q16-qter,

9pter-p21, 13q, 16q22-qter, 17p, 17q12, 18q22-qter, and X. The following gains and losses of material had not been reported previously: +4p15, -9q21, -9q22.3-33, -11p15-pter, and -22q13-qter.

RDA has been used previously in the identification of homozygous deletions that have led toward the cloning of several novel tumor suppressor genes including *BRCA2* (Schutte et al. 1995; Wooster et al. 1995), *FHIT* (Ohta et al. 1996), and *MMAC/PTEN* (Li et al. 1997; Steck et al. 1997). When we performed RDA on primary ovarian tumor DNA, five distinct products were isolated that were shown to be homozygously deleted from the tumor but retained within the fibroblast DNA from the same patient. One of these products has been shown to be part of a large homozygous deletion of chromosome 9p21, which encompasses *IFN- α* , *p16*, and *p15* and possibly one or more additional unidentified tumor suppressor genes. We hope that characterization of the other four RDA products will lead to the identification of other novel loci that house tumor suppressor genes involved in ovarian cancer.

METHODS

Clinical Material

An ascites specimen was taken from a patient with advanced serous epithelial ovarian cancer. The cell line PEO1 was derived from the malignant cells in this sample (Wolf et al. 1987). Ascites taken from the patient after relapse some 10 months later was used to derive the cell lines PEO4, PEO6, and PEO1^{CDDP} as described previously (Wolf et al. 1987; Langdon et al. 1988). An additional sample of ascites was cultured and trypsin/versene added until the fibroblast cells just became detached. These fibroblast cells could then be removed selectively and cultured separately. Several rounds of differential trypsinization were performed until distinct and pure fibroblast and tumor cell populations were obtained. DNA was isolated from the two cell types (Nucleon DNA extraction kit, ScotLab), and it was from these samples that representations were derived for the difference analysis procedure.

Cell lines

PEO14, PEO16, PEO23, PEA1, and PEA2 are all cell lines derived from epithelial ovarian tumors (Wolf et al. 1987; Langdon et al. 1988); PEA1 and PEA2 are derived from the same patient. OVCAR3, OVCAR4, OVCAR5, A2780, 59M, OAW42, OAW28, and SKOV3 are all human ovarian cancer cell lines obtained from the American Tissue Culture Collection (ATCC). HeLa Ohio was a gift from A. Cranston (Queen's University, Belfast, UK); HCT116, a colorectal cancer cell line, was a gift from S. Farrington (MRC-HGU), ZR75.1, MCF7, and MDA.MB.231 are breast cancer cell lines available from the ATCC.

RDA

RDA was performed exactly as described by Lisitsyn et al. 1993 (a detailed protocol was supplied by N. Lisitsyn and has now been published in Lisitsyn and Wigler 1995).

Briefly, 2 μ g of DNA from the two cell populations was

digested with *Bgl*III and then ligated to the first primer pair set (R Bgl 24 and R Bgl 12) that had been annealed previously. R Bgl 24 was used as a primer for PCR on 40 ng of the catch-linked templates, to generate tester (fibroblast) and driver (tumor) amplicons. The first set of catch linkers was removed from the amplicons by restriction endonuclease digestion with *Bgl*III. The tester amplicon was gel-purified and the DNA re-extracted from the agarose using a Qiaquick gel extraction kit (Qiagen Ltd., Crawley, UK). One microgram of purified tester amplicon was ligated to annealed primer pair set 2 (J Bgl 24 and J Bgl 12). Subtractive hybridization was performed using 40 μ g of the prepared driver amplicons and 400 ng of the prepared tester. Selective amplification of self-reannealed tester fragments was carried out by performing 10 cycles of PCR using J Bgl 24 as a primer. This produced the first-round difference product. Remaining single-stranded DNA (ss-DNA) molecules were removed by digestion with mung bean nuclease (New England Biolabs), before performing a further 20 cycles of PCR. Linkers were removed from the first-round difference product again by digestion with *Bgl*III. The third primer pair set of annealed oligonucleotides (N Bgl 24 and N Bgl 12) was ligated to the digested difference product. A further two rounds of subtractive hybridization and selective amplification were carried out, using primer pair set 2 as catch linkers on the second-round product to generate the third round difference product.

Cloning of RDA Products

Products of the final round of PCR were digested with *Bgl*III and shotgun-cloned into *Bgl*III-digested, dephosphorylated pBS plasmid vector (Stratagene), a gift from Tony Brookes (MRC-HGU). Cloned products were sequenced using T3 and T7 as primers in either a dideoxy sequencing reaction (Sequenase) with ³⁵S incorporation, or they were cycle-sequenced using *Taq* FS fluorescent dideoxy termination mix (ABI), and then analyzed on a 373 automated ABI sequencing apparatus.

PCR Analysis

Specific PCR primers were derived from the sequences. For RDA product RD55, these were 5'-TACAACAGGAT-CAAGAAGGC-3' and 5'-AGAGAAACCGAGAAGAAACC-3'. PCR conditions were as follows: 50 ng of template, 300 ng of primer, 50 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris (pH 9), 0.1% Triton X-100, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 200 μ M dNTPs, and 1 unit of *Taq* Polymerase (ICRF). Cycling conditions were as follows: 93°C for 1 min \times 1; 70°C for 30 sec, 72°C for 30 sec, 91°C for 30 sec \times 2; 68°C for 30 sec, 72°C for 30 sec, 91°C for 30 sec \times 2; 66°C for 30 sec, 72°C for 30 sec, 91°C for 30 sec \times 2; 64°C for 30 sec, 72°C for 30 sec, 91°C for 30 sec \times 2; 62°C for 30 sec, 72°C for 30 sec, 91°C for 30 sec \times 2; 60°C for 30 sec, 72°C for 30 sec, 91°C for 30 sec \times 20; 72°C for 5 min.

Human monochromosome somatic cell hybrid panel was from the HGMP Resource Centre (Hinxton, Cambridge, UK). STS markers p16 exon 2, p15 exon 2, and c.1b were a kind gift from Kathy Williamson (MRC HGU) and are described in Kamb et al. (1994). Primers for *IFN- α* and *TYRP1* were a gift from Cathy Abbot (University of Edinburgh, UK) (sequence is held by GenBank). Primers for other STS markers—D9S288, D9S178, D9S285, D9S156, D9S157, D9S162, D9S1749, D9S1748, D9S1752, D9S942, D9S171, D9S265, D9S272, D9S176, D9S1748, D9S1747, D9S942, and

D9S1752—were synthesized by ICRF support services according to the sequences held in GenBank.

CGH

CGH analysis was carried out on metaphase spreads prepared from an unsynchronized culture of fresh phytohemagglutinin-stimulated lymphocytes from a healthy male (46XY) using standard procedures of hypotonic treatment and methanol/acetic acid fixation (3:1). Total genomic tumor DNA and normal total genomic DNA were labeled by nick translation with fluorochrome-conjugated nucleotides FITC11-dUTP (Amersham, UK) and Texas Red-5-dUTP (Dupont, Boston, MA), respectively. Another sample of total genomic DNA from a normal female was labeled with FITC-11-dUTP as a control. The size of the resultant labeled DNA fragments was checked as being in the range of 600–1700 bp.

Hybridization experiments were carried out as described previously (Kallioniemi et al. 1994) with minor modifications. Briefly, normal lymphocyte metaphase chromosomes were prepared by incubation at 37°C in 100 µg/ml RNase, 2× SSC for 1 hr. They were then dehydrated through an ethanol series (70%, 90%, 100%) and denatured in 70% formamide/2× SSC at 70°C for 3 min. After quenching in cold 70% ethanol, the dehydration was completed by passing slides through 90% and 100% ethanol.

Equal amounts of previously labeled tumor and normal DNA (400 ng), together with 5 µg of unlabeled Cot1 DNA (GIBCO), was precipitated, dried, and resuspended in 10 µl of hybridization mix (50% formamide, 10% dextran sulfate, 2× SSC, 0.1% Tween 20). This was then denatured at 70°C for 5 min and applied immediately to the lymphocyte metaphase preparations. After hybridization at 37°C for 48 hr the slides were washed four times for 3 min in each of 50% formamide/2× SSC, and 2× SSC at 45°C and in 0.1× SSC at 60°C. The slides were then transferred to 4× SSC/0.1% Tween 20 and counterstained with 1.5 µg/ml DAPI in Vectashield antifade solution (Vector Labs, Peterborough, UK).

The slides were analyzed using a Zeiss Axioplan microscope equipped with a CCD camera and a filter system. Excitation of the individual fluorochromes was done by means of a single band pass excitation filter in a computer-controlled wheel. The information was processed using a Sun Sparc10 workstation and the red-to-green ratios were calculated using X-wool software developed by J. Piper (Piper et al. 1995). Twenty-five to 30 metaphases were captured, and the fluorescence ratios for each chromosome type were derived from the three color images. The chromosomes were digitally segmented and the interchromosomal background removed from the chromosomal fluorescence. The fluorescence intensities along the length of all the chromosomes in each metaphase were then calculated and normalized so that the overall green-to-red ratio for each metaphase was 1.0. Thereafter, a drop in standard deviation below 1.0 was defined as a loss in DNA copy number and a rise above 1.0 as a gain in that particular region of the tumor genome. Only images that showed a uniform fluorescence in both green and red signal across the metaphase were analyzed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Nick Hastie for his ideas and advice, the MRC HGU Reprographics department for figures, K. Williamson and C. Abbott for primers, A. Cranston and S. Farrington for cell

lines, S. Langdon and A.J. Brookes for materials and advice, and Georgia Chenevix-Trench for her comments. This work was funded by the ICRF and the UK MRC.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked “advertisement” in accordance with 18 USC section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

REFERENCES

- Bast, R.C., C.M. Boyer, I. Jacobs, F.J. Xu, S. Wu, J. Wiener, M. Kohler, and A. Berchuck. 1993. Cell-growth regulation in epithelial ovarian-cancer. *Cancer* **71**: 1597–1601.
- Black, D., J. Watson, and A. Hurlstone. 1998. Tumour suppressor genes and cellular senescence in ovarian cancer. In *Ovarian cancer 5* (ed. F. Sharp, P. Mason, T. Blackett, and J. Berek), pp. 49–66. Chapman and Hall, London, UK.
- Bouzyk, M., S. Bryant, C. Evans, S. Guioli, S. Ford, K. Schmidt, P. Goodfellow, S. Povey, M. Rebello, S. Rousseaux et al. 1997. Integrated radiation hybrid and yeast artificial chromosome map of chromosome 9p. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* **5**: 299–307.
- Cairns, P., T.J. Polascik, Y. Eby, K. Tokino, J. Califano, A. Merlo, L. Mao, J. Herath, R. Jenkins, W. Westra et al. 1995. Frequency of homozygous deletion at p16/CDKN2 in primary human tumours. *Nat. Genet.* **11**: 210–212.
- Caldas, C., S.A. Hahn, L.T. da Costa, M.S. Redston, M. Schutte, A.B. Seymour, C.L. Weinstein, R.H. Hruban, C.J. Yeo, and S.E. Kern. 1994. Frequent somatic mutations and homozygous deletions of the p16 (MTS1) gene in pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *Nat. Genet.* **8**: 27–32.
- Campbell, I.G., G. Beynon, M. Davis, and P. Englefield. 1995. LOH and mutation analysis of CDKN2 in primary human ovarian cancers. *Int. J. Cancer* **63**: 222–225.
- Chang, J., J. Bridgewater, M. Gore, C. Fisher, J. Schofield, R. A'hern, B. Ponder, I. Jacobs, M. McKeage, and L. Kelland et al. 1994. Non-surgical aspects of ovarian cancer. *Lancet* **343**: 335–341.
- Chenevix-Trench, G., J. Kerr, M. Friedlander, T. Hurst, B. Sanderson, M. Cogan, B. Ward, J. Leary, and S.K. Khoo. 1994. Homozygous deletions on the short arm of chromosome 9 in ovarian adenocarcinoma cell lines and loss of heterozygosity in sporadic tumors. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **55**: 143–149.
- Chenevix-Trench, G., J. Kerr, T. Hurst, Y.C. Shih, D. Purdie, L. Bergman, M. Friedlander, B. Sanderson, A. Zournazi, and T. Coombs et al. 1997. Analysis of loss of heterozygosity and KRAS2 mutations in ovarian neoplasms: Clinicopathological correlations. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* **18**: 75–83.
- Chuaqui, R.F., Z. Zhuang, and M.J. Merino. 1997. Molecular genetic events in the development and progression of ovarian cancer in humans. *Mol. Med. Today* **3**: 207–213.
- Hamilton, T.C., R.C. Young, W.M. McKoy, K.R. Grotzinger, J.A. Green, E.W. Chu, J. Whang-Peng, A.M. Rogan, W.R. Green, and R.F. Ozols. 1983. Characterization of a human ovarian carcinoma cell line (NIH:OVCAR-3) with androgen and estrogen receptors. *Cancer Res.* **43**: 5379–5389.
- Herman, J.G., A. Merlo, L. Mao, R.G. Lapidus, J.P. Issa, N.E. Davidson, D. Sidransky, and S.B. Baylin. 1995. Inactivation of the CDKN2/p16/MTS1 gene is frequently associated with aberrant DNA methylation in all common human cancers. *Cancer Res.* **55**: 4525–4530.
- Ichikawa, Y., S. Yoshida, Y. Koyama, M. Hirai, T. Ishikawa, M. Nishida, H. Tsunoda, T. Kubo, M. Miwa, and K. Uchida. 1996. Inactivation of p16/CDKN2 and p15/MTS2 genes in different histological types and clinical stages of primary ovarian tumors. *Int. J. Cancer* **69**: 466–470.
- Iwabuchi, H., M. Sakamoto, H. Sakunaga, Y.Y. Ma, M.L. Carcangiu, D. Pinkel, T.L. Yang-Feng, and J.W. Gray. 1995. Genetic analysis of benign, low-grade, and high-grade ovarian tumors. *Cancer Res.* **55**: 6172–6180.
- Kallioniemi, O.P., A. Kallioniemi, J. Piper, J. Isola, F.M. Waldman, J.W. Gray, and D. Pinkel. 1994. Optimizing comparative

- genomic hybridization for analysis of DNA sequence copy number changes in solid tumors. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* **10**: 231–243.
- Kamb, A., N.A. Gruis, J. Weaver-Feldhaus, Q. Liu, K. Harshman, S.V. Tavtigian, E. Stockert, R.R. Day, B.E. Johnson, and M.H. Skolnick. 1994. A cell cycle regulator potentially involved in genesis of many tumor types. *Science* **264**: 436–440.
- Langdon, S., S. Lawrie, F. Hay, M. Hawkes, A. McDonald, I. Hayward, D. Schol, J. Hilgers, R. Leonard, and J. Smyth. 1988. Characterization and properties of nine human ovarian adenocarcinoma cell lines. *Cancer Res.* **48**: 6166–6172.
- Li, J., C. Yen, D. Liaw, K. Podsypanina, S. Bose, S.I. Wang, J. Puc, C. Miliareis, L. Rodgers, and R. McCombie et al. 1997. PTEN, a putative protein tyrosine phosphatase gene mutated in human brain, breast, and prostate cancer. *Science* **275**: 1943–1947.
- Lisitsyn, N. and M. Wigler. 1995. Representational difference analysis in detection of genetic lesions in cancer. *Methods Enzymol.* **254**: 291–304.
- Lisitsyn, N., N. Lisitsyn, and M. Wigler. 1993. Cloning the differences between two complex genomes. *Science* **259**: 946–951.
- Mandai, M., I. Konishi, T. Komatsu, T. Mori, S. Arao, H. Nomura, Y. Kanda, H. Hiai, and M. Fukumoto. 1995. Mutation of the nm23 gene, loss of heterozygosity at the nm23 locus and K-ras mutation in ovarian carcinoma: Correlation with tumour progression and nm23 gene expression. *Br. J. Cancer* **72**: 691–695.
- Merajver, S.D., T.M. Pham, R.F. Caduff, M. Chen, E.L. Poy, K.A. Cooney, B.L. Weber, F.S. Collins, C. Johnston, and T.S. Frank. 1995. Somatic mutations in the BRCA1 gene in sporadic ovarian tumours. *Nat. Genet.* **9**: 439–443.
- Merlo, A., J.G. Herman, L. Mao, D.J. Lee, E. Gabrielson, P.C. Burger, S.B. Baylin, and D. Sidransky. 1995. 5' CpG island methylation is associated with transcriptional silencing of the tumour suppressor p16/CDKN2/MTS1 in human cancers. *Nat. Med.* **1**: 686–692.
- Nobori, T., K. Miura, D.J. Wu, A. Lois, K. Takabayashi, and D.A. Carson. 1994. Deletions of the cyclin-dependent kinase-4 inhibitor gene in multiple human cancers. *Nature* **368**: 753–756.
- Ohta, M., H. Inoue, M. Coticelli, K. Kastury, R. Baffa, J. Palazzo, Z. Siprashvili, M. Mori, P. McCue, and T. Druck et al. 1996. The FHIT gene, spanning the chromosome 3p14.2 fragile site and renal carcinoma-associated t(3;8) breakpoint, is abnormal in digestive tract cancers. *Cell* **84**: 587–597.
- Piper, J., D. Rutovitz, D. Sudar, A. Kallioniemi, O.P. Kallioniemi, F.M. Waldman, J.W. Gray, and D. Pinkel. 1995. Computer image analysis of comparative genomic hybridization. *Cytometry* **19**: 10–26.
- Provencher, D.M., C.L. Finstad, P.E. Saigo, S.C. Rubin, W.J. Hoskins, M.G. Federici, E. Stockert, K.O. Lloyd, and J. Lewis, Jr. 1993. Comparison of antigen expression on fresh and cultured ascites cells and on solid tumors of patients with epithelial ovarian cancer. *Gynecol. Oncol.* **50**: 78–83.
- Puig, S., A. Ruiz, C. Lazaro, T. Castel, M. Lynch, J. Palou, A. Vilalta, J. Weissenbach, J.M. Mascaró, and X. Estivill. 1995. Chromosome 9p deletions in cutaneous malignant melanoma tumors: The minimal deleted region involves markers outside the p16 (CDKN2) gene. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **57**: 395–402.
- Risinger, J.I., A. Berchuck, M.F. Kohler, and J. Boyd. 1994. Mutations of the E-cadherin gene in human gynecologic cancers. *Nat. Genet.* **7**: 98–102.
- Rodabaugh, K.J., R.B. Biggs, J.A. Qureshi, A.J. Barrett, W.R. Welch, D.A. Bell, R.S. Berkowitz, and S.C. Mok. 1995. Detailed deletion mapping of chromosome 9p and p16 gene alterations in human borderline and invasive epithelial ovarian tumors. *Oncogene* **11**: 1249–1254.
- Schultz, D.C., L. Vanderveer, K.H. Buetow, M.P. Boente, R.F. Ozols, T.C. Hamilton, and A.K. Godwin. 1995. Characterization of chromosome 9 in human ovarian neoplasia identifies frequent genetic imbalance on 9q and rare alterations involving 9p, including CDKN2. *Cancer Res.* **55**: 2150–2157.
- Schutte, M., L.T. da-Costa, S.A. Hahn, C. Moskaluk, A.T. Hoque, E. Rozenblum, C.L. Weinstein, M. Bittner, P.S. Meltzer, and J.M. Trent et al. 1995. Identification by representational difference analysis of a homozygous deletion in pancreatic carcinoma that lies within the BRCA2 region. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **92**: 5950–5954.
- Serrano, M., G.J. Hannon, and D. Beach. 1993. A new regulatory motif in cell-cycle control causing specific inhibition of cyclin D/CDK4. *Nature* **366**: 704–707.
- Shih, Y.C., J. Kerr, J. Liu, T. Hurst, S.K. Khoo, B. Ward, B. Wainwright, and G. Chenevix-Trench. 1997. Rare mutations and no hypermethylation at the CDKN2A locus in epithelial ovarian tumours. *Int. J. Cancer* **70**: 508–511.
- Slamon, D.J., W. Godolphin, L.A. Jones, J.A. Holt, S.G. Wong, D.E. Keith, W.J. Levin, S.G. Stuart, J. Udove, and A. Ullrich. 1989. Studies of the HER-2/neu proto-oncogene in human breast and ovarian cancer. *Science* **244**: 707–712.
- Steck, P.A., M.A. Pershouse, S.A. Jasser, W.K. Yung, H. Lin, A.H. Ligon, L.A. Langford, M.L. Baumgard, T. Hattier, and T. Davis et al. 1997. Identification of a candidate tumour suppressor gene, MMAC1, at chromosome 10q23.3 that is mutated in multiple advanced cancers. *Nat. Genet.* **15**: 356–362.
- Steel, C. and H. Gabra. 1997. Oncogenes and tumour suppressor genes in ovarian cancer. In *Biology of female cancers* (ed. S. Langdon, W. Miller, and A. Berchuck), pp. 133–152. CRC Press LLC, New York, NY.
- Takahashi, H., K. Behbakht, P.E. McGovern, H.-S. Chiu, F.J. Couch, B.L. Weber, L.S. Friedman, M.-C. King, M. Furusato, and V.A. LiVolsi et al. 1995. Mutation analysis of BRCA1 gene in ovarian cancers. *Cancer Res.* **55**: 2998–3002.
- Takahashi, H., H.C. Chiu, C.A. Bandera, K. Behbakht, P.C. Liu, F.J. Couch, B.L. Weber, V.A. LiVolsi, M. Furusato, and B.A. Rebane et al. 1996. Mutations of the BRCA2 gene in ovarian carcinomas. *Cancer Res.* **56**: 2738–2741.
- Tapper, J., R. Butzow, T. Wahlstrom, M. Seppala, and S. Knuutila. 1997. Evidence for divergence of DNA copy number changes in serous, mucinous and endometrioid ovarian carcinomas. *Br. J. Cancer* **75**: 1782–1787.
- Teneriello, M.G., M. Ebina, R.I. Linnoila, M. Henry, J.D. Nash, R.C. Park, and M.J. Birrer. 1993. p53 and Ki-ras gene mutations in epithelial ovarian neoplasms. *Cancer Res.* **53**: 3103–3108.
- Walker, G.J., J.F. Flores, J.M. Glendening, A. Lin, I.D.C. Markl, and J.W. Fountain. 1998. Virtually 100% of melanoma cell lines harbor alterations at the DNA level within CDKN2A, CDKN2B, or one of their downstream targets. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* **22**: 157–163.
- Weaver-Feldhaus, J., N.A. Gruis, S. Neuhausen, D. Le Paslier, E. Stockert, M.H. Skolnick, and A. Kamb. 1994. Localization of a putative tumor suppressor gene by using homozygous deletions in melanomas. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **91**: 7563–7567.
- Williamson, M.P., P.A. Elder, M.E. Shaw, J. Devlin, and M.A. Knowles. 1995. p16 (CDKN2) is a major deletion target at 9p21 in bladder cancer. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **4**: 1569–1577.
- Wolf, C., I. Hayward, S. Lawrie, K. Buckton, M. McIntyre, D. Adams, A. Lewis, A. Scott, and J. Smyth. 1987. Cellular heterogeneity and drug resistance in two ovarian adenocarcinoma cell lines derived from a single patient. *Int. J. Cancer* **39**: 695–702.
- Wolff, E., T. Liehr, U. Vorderwulbecke, A.H. Tulusan, E.M. Huselelin, E. Gebhart. 1997. Frequent gains and losses of specific chromosome segments in human ovarian carcinomas shown by comparative genomic hybridization. *Int. J. Oncol.* **11**: 19–23.
- Wooster, R., G. Bignell, J. Lancaster, S. Swift, S. Seal, J. Mangion, N. Collins, S. Gregory, C. Gumbs, and G. Micklem. 1995. Identification of the breast cancer susceptibility gene BRCA2. [published erratum appears in *Nature* **379**: 749, 1996.] *Nature* **378**: 789–792.
- Yokota, J., Y. Tsunetsugu-Yokota, H. Battifora, C. Le Favre, and M.J. Cline. 1986. Alterations of myc, myb, and rasHa proto-oncogenes in cancers are frequent and show clinical correlation. *Science* **231**: 261–265.

Received October 13, 1998; accepted in revised form December 10, 1998.